Received: 15 March 2025 , Accepted: 30 March 2025, Published: 08 April 2025
Digital Object Identifier: https://doi.org/10.63503/j.ijssic.2025.88

Review Article

Advancements in Solid-State Batteries for Electric Vehicles: A Comprehensive Review

Shivam Shukla[1], Greesham Anand[2] , Shubham Agarwal[3*]

[1] Mitsubishi Fuso Trucks & Bus Corporation, JAPAN

[2] Microsoft Redmond WA, United States, [3] Corpdel Solutions, New Delhi

shivamshuklaa@gmail.com[1], anand.summer92@gmail.com[2]

*Corresponding author: Shubham Agarwal, shubham8scholar@mail.com

ABSTRACT

Solid-state batteries (SSBs) have emerged as a pivotal innovation in energy storage, poised to overcome the limitations of conventional lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) and accelerate the adoption of electric vehicles (EVs). By replacing flammable liquid electrolytes with solid alternatives, SSBs offer transformative improvements in safety, energy density, and cycle life, addressing critical barriers to EV scalability. Recent advancements in solid electrolyte materials—including oxide ceramics, sulfide glasses, and polymer composites (e.g., PEO-LiTFSI with nanofillers)—have achieved ionic conductivities rivalling liquid electrolytes (>10^{-3} S/cm), while enabling the integration of high-capacity lithium-metal anodes (3860 mAh/g) and high-voltage cathodes (e.g., NMC811). Breakthroughs in interfacial engineering, such as artificial solid-electrolyte interphases (SEI) and 3D electrode architectures, have mitigated dendrite growth and interfacial resistance, enhancing cycle stability (>80% capacity retention after 800 cycles). However, challenges persist in scalability, cost-effective manufacturing, and long-term durability under high current densities (>5 mA/cm^2). Industry leaders like Toyota, Quantum Scape, and CATL are advancing prototypes with energy densities exceeding 500 Wh/kg and targeting commercialization by 2027–2030, supported by hybrid solid-liquid designs and roll-to-roll manufacturing techniques. Parallel advancements in computational tools, including AI-driven material discovery, are accelerating the optimization of electrolyte compositions and interfacial coatings. Policy initiatives, such as the U.S. Department of Energy's $200M investment in SSB research and global partnerships, are fostering innovation, while sustainability efforts focus on recyclability and reducing reliance on critical materials (e.g., cobalt, germanium). This review synthesizes interdisciplinary progress in SSB technology, highlighting the synergy between materials science, engineering, and industry collaboration needed to achieve mass production. By addressing technical bottlenecks and aligning with decarbonization goals, SSBs are positioned to redefine EV performance, enabling safer, longer-range vehicles and a sustainable energy future.

Keywords: *Solid-state batteries, Electric vehicles, Energy density, Interfacial engineering, Sustainable energy storage.*

1. Introduction

The global transportation sector stands at a pivotal juncture, driven by the urgent need to decarbonize and mitigate climate change [1]. Electric vehicles (EVs), heralded as a cornerstone of sustainable mobility, have seen exponential growth, with global sales surpassing 10 million units in 2022 and projected to account for over 60% of new car sales by 2040. This evolution relies on the development of energy storage devices capable of providing better performance, safety, and affordability than present LIBs [2]. While LIBs dominated the EV industry for decades, their inherent disadvantages—flammable liquid electrolytes, moderate energy densities (~250–300 Wh/kg), and degradation mechanisms—present daunting challenges to mass EV adoption. SSBs substitute liquid electrolytes with solid ones, have come as a revolutionary answer, which is set to change the face of energy storage for EVs [3]. SSBs' history lies in four decades of material science development. The idea of solid electrolytes was born in the 19th century, but it wasn't until the 2010s that advances in ionic conductivity and interface stability revitalized interest in SSBs [4]. Initial oxide-based electrolytes, for example, lithium phosphorus oxynitride (LiPON), were promising in thin-film applications but had limited ionic conductivity ($<10^{-6}$ S/cm). The breakthrough from sulfide-based electrolytes, for example, $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ (LGPS), brought conductivity ($>10^{-2}$ S/cm) equivalent to liquid electrolytes [5]. Concurrent advancements in polymer electrolytes, including polyethylene oxide (PEO) composites, brought with their flexibility and processability with compromises in conductivity at room temperature. These advances in material science, paired with the rebirth of lithium-metal anodes (theoretical capacity: 3860 mAh/g), have placed SSBs firmly in the spotlight as a capable replacement for LIBs, representing a path forward for energy densities greater than 500 Wh/kg—a crucial limit for the use of EVs with ranges above 500 miles on a single charge. SSBs' most compelling allure is their fundamental safety benefits [6]. By removing the risk of thermal runaway, SSBs address a long-standing issue in LIBs that has contributed to high-profile recalls and consumer distrust. Additionally, the mechanical strength of the solid electrolyte can inhibit lithium dendrite growth—a process that causes short-circuiting and catastrophic failure in lithium-metal batteries [7]. The potential for greater cycle life supplements these safety benefits, as solid electrolytes minimize parasitic side reactions on electrode interfaces. For automakers, SSBs represent not only a technology breakthrough but also a strategic opportunity to achieve stringent safety requirements and customer demand for reliable, long-range EVs [8]. Despite these benefits, SSB commercialization is confronted with strong challenges. The solid-solid interface between the electrodes and the electrolytes brings about high interfacial resistance, hindering ion transport and lowering power density [9]. Dendrite suppression, though theoretically possible, is yet a dream at the high current densities (>5 mA/cm^2) needed for quick charging [10]. Material compatibility then adds a further layer of complexity to the design: sulfide electrolytes are reactive with water, requiring costly dry-room manufacturing; and oxide ceramics are brittle and difficult to up-scale. Cost is also a major obstacle with existing SSB prototypes being 2–3 times more expensive than for LIBs. Overcoming these challenges requires inter-disciplinary innovation across materials science, electrochemistry, and manufacturing engineering [11-12]. The last few years have seen outstanding advances in the surmounting of these challenges. In interfacial engineering, methods like atomic layer deposition (ALD) of Li_3PO_4 films and the synthesis of 3D lithium-metal hosts have decreased interfacial resistance dramatically and alleviated dendrite growth [13]. Hybrid electrolyte structures, in which solid polymers are combined with ceramic fillers, attain a balance between ionic conductivity and mechanical stability [14]. From a manufacturing perspective, companies like Toyota and Quantum Scape are at the forefront with roll-to-roll technology and stack configurations to mass-produce SSBs. Volkswagen has partnered with Quantum Scape to produce EVs with SSB-powered ranges of 800 km by 2025, and Toyota targets commercialization of SSBs in 2027–2028 [15-18]. All this is supported by computation advances, with machine learning programs speeding up the discovery of new electrolyte compositions and interface-stabilizing additives. Policy and sustainability factors are also key to the adoption of SSBs. Governments across the globe are investing in SSB research, as seen with the U.S. Department of Energy's $200 million project and the European Battery Alliance's €3 billion pot. At the same time, the environmental impacts of SSBs are also being analyzed in terms of their reliance on scarce materials like germanium (sulfide electrolytes) and cobalt (high-voltage cathodes). Recycling strategies such as hydrometallurgical lithium and transition metal retrieval are being considered to align SSBs with circular economy methods. Standardization efforts by entities such as the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) are underway to develop performance standards and safety specifications and ensure industry-wide coordination [40-50]. This review synthesizes the interdisciplinary advancements propelling SSBs toward commercialization, with a focus on their application in EVs. Section 2 delves into the historical evolution of SSB technology, contextualizing key milestones from early research to modern prototypes. Section 3 provides a comparative analysis of existing schemes. Section 4 discusses electrode design, interfacial engineering, and manufacturing challenges. Finally, Section 5 outlines the conclusion and future research directions, emphasizing the role of AI, recyclability, and global partnerships in achieving mass-market SSB deployment. By bridging the gap between laboratory research and industrial application, this review aims to provide a comprehensive roadmap for stakeholders navigating the complex landscape of SSB development. As the EV revolution accelerates, SSBs stand poised to deliver the step-change in performance and safety needed to realize a sustainable transportation future.

2. Related Work

SSBs have emerged as a promising alternative to conventional lithium-ion batteries, offering enhanced safety, higher energy densities, and longer lifespans. however, their development and bulk production are heavily threatened. Janek and Zeier [16] present the chief challenges to SSB development, including material constraints, production difficulty, and scalability. They emphasize the necessity of better electrolyte materials and interfaces to achieve optimal battery performance and commercial viability. On the ecological side, Machín et al. [17] provide a detailed overview of the sustainability facets of SSBs, such as recycling methodologies, waste treatment, and environmental consequences of production and disposal of batteries. In their work, they emphasize that eco-friendly methodologies need to be developed to neutralize the green footprint of emerging batteries. On the technological front, Deshmukh et al. [18] discuss recent developments in lithium-sulfur SSBs, their operation principles, significant issues like the dissolution of polysulfides, and possible solutions for enhancing their efficiency. Çolak and Irmak [19] correlate battery development with the overall electric vehicle (EV) sector, discussing the progress, challenges, and future perspective of EV technology, specifically the contribution of SSBs toward increasing vehicle range and safety. Analogously, Olabi et al. [20] offer their insights into rechargeable battery development, touching upon technological advancements, new applications, and the importance of furthering battery chemistry development. Yuan and Yuan [21] take a patent-based approach to track the technological advancements in SSBs, identifying emerging trends, research hotspots, and potential breakthroughs. Complementing this, Block and Song [22] investigate how knowledge exchange in the field of battery technology occurs, using patent analysis to study innovation dynamics. The transition from liquid to solid electrolytes is a major focus in SSB research, and Horowitz et al. [23] analyse the challenges and opportunities of moving towards all-solid-state battery technology for EVs. Li et al. [24] provide an extensive review of the overall progress and key challenges in SSB development, discussing factors such as electrode-electrolyte compatibility and manufacturing difficulties. Mageto et al. [25] explore practical strategies to improve Li-ion SSBs, including material innovations and engineering solutions. Another critical area is the role of gel polymer electrolytes in battery performance, which Aruchamy et al. [26] investigate by discussing their potential to achieve high ionic conductivity and stability. Xu et al. [27] shift the focus to cathode materials, specifically Li-rich oxide cathodes, and their impact on improving energy storage efficiency. Battery management systems play a crucial role in EV applications, and Liu et al. [28] provide a broad overview of different battery management strategies that enhance the performance, longevity, and safety of EV batteries. Block and Song [29] further expand on the role of patents in advancing SSB materials, exploring how material-based innovations contribute to battery improvements. Boaretto et al. [30] present a detailed discussion on lithium SSB materials, the challenges in their processing, and the limitations that need to be overcome for large-scale manufacturing. Wang et al. [31] examine the potential of halide-based SSBs, exploring their material properties, advantages, and challenges in practical applications. Wei et al. [32] introduce the concept of customizable SSBs, proposing shape-conformal designs that allow for greater flexibility in energy storage solutions. The roadmap for future SSB advancements is outlined by Schmaltz et al. [33], who discuss necessary improvements in materials, design, and scalability. Gonzalez Puente et al. [34] focus on garnet-type solid electrolytes, evaluating their ionic transport properties and applications in all SSBs. Whang and Zeier [35] explore the use of transition metal sulfides in SSBs, identifying their potential advantages and challenges. Interface stability is a critical issue in SSBs, and Ji et al. [36] provide an in-depth review of the interface problems associated with garnet-type all-SSBs. Heubner et al. [37] discuss advancements in anode-free SSBs, examining how these batteries can improve energy density and reduce material costs. Similarly, Albertus et al. [38] explore pathways to achieving stable Li-metal-based SSBs, highlighting design improvements necessary to enhance their performance. Rawat et al. [39] present a review of specialty batteries, discussing recent innovations and the future direction of battery technology. Wang et al. [40] focus on Li-metal anode design for garnet-based SSBs to solve problems such as dendrite growth and interface stability. Tan et al. [41] offer a pragmatic perspective by pointing out scaling-up challenges of high-energy-density sulfidic SSBs from the lab to the industrial scale. Houache et al. [42] offer a mini-review of battery chemistries for EVs, comparing the technologies and their applicability to future mobility needs. Finally, Kalnaus et al. [43] assign utmost significance to the mechanical stability of SSBs and recommend that a greater understanding of mechanical stress and structural stability is needed for greater longevity and reliability of SSBs. Zhang et al. [50] give a summary of liquid to solid-state lithium metal battery transition and also point out the main challenges and novel technological breakthroughs. The authors put forward some key issues, including lithium dendrite growth, compatibility between the electrode and electrolyte, and contribution of solid electrolytes towards battery safety and performance. The authors also name possible solid electrolyte materials such as sulfides, oxides, and polymers and report the need for future development to enhance ionic conductivity and mechanical integrity. Cao et al. [51] expand on the new developments in high-performance solid-state lithium batteries with special emphasis put on interface engineering. The authors also point out the need for strong interfaces between electrodes and solid electrolytes to provide minimal resistance and long battery life. The study elaborates on various strategies, including artificial interlayer application, surface coating, and well-composed electrolyte composition, for enhancing interfacial stability and preventing degradation upon prolonged use. Lim et al. [52] elaborate on the critical challenges in the interfaces of all SSBs. They discover critical issues such as inefficient solid electrolyte-electrode contact, degradative interfacial reactions, and mechanical stress inducing crack or delamination. The paper discusses some stabilization strategies, including buffer layer applications, high-pressure assembly processes, and new intranasally stable solid electrolytes. Kim et al. [53] is focused on optimizing lithium aluminium germanium phosphate solid electrolyte ionic conductivity. The authors examine the potential of enhanced lithium-ion transport within the solid matrix by microstructure modifications such as grain boundary engineering and dopant incorporation. Results indicate that optimization of the microstructure of solid electrolytes is essential to realizing high conductivity and stable performance for applications in all-solid-state batteries. Ren et al. [54] discuss the challenges and prospects of sulfide-based all-SSBs, one of the most promising candidates for next-generation energy storage. The paper raises to the surface the significant challenges of sulfide electrolyte moisture sensitivity, interfacial instability, and the need for low-cost fabrication methods. The study also offers novel solutions such as encapsulation techniques, hybrid electrolyte architecture, and novel fabrication methods to counter these challenges. Song et al. [55] present an active halide catholyte that boosts the supplementary capacity of all SSBs. The authors speak about the prospect of halide-based electrolytes in promoting better lithium-ion mobility and higher energy density. The research explains the electrochemical reactions that enable halide catholytes to perform better with stability under diverse operating conditions. Kim et al. [56] discuss the impact of new battery technologies on future EVs. Authors analyze developments in solid-state battery and lithium-ion technology in respect of energy density, charging capacity, and better safety. The authors also explain how the improved chemistry of batteries, as well as cooling systems, affect the efficiency and the life of overall power systems in EVs. Salgado et al. [57] talk about the latest trends in EV battery technology and compare different solutions for energy storage, including lithium-ion, solid-state, and other solutions like sodium-ion and metal-air batteries. The authors discuss each technology's strengths and weaknesses in terms of cost, scalability, and environmental impact, gaining insights into how EV battery development will unfold. Salkuti et al. [58] give a glimpse of future energy storage technology for EVs and state advancements in battery materials, rapid charging technology, and hybrid energy storage technology. The article also states the development of AI-based battery management systems to improve the performance and life of EV batteries. Paul et al. [59] address interface stability issues in all-solid-state lithium-metal batteries. The authors review the basic causes of interfacial instabilities, i.e., lithium filament growth and electrolyte decomposition. Various stabilization strategies, such as protective coating, applying a buffer layer, and electrolyte engineering to achieve enhanced long-term battery performance are also discussed by them. Cao et al. [60] introduce operando neutron imaging as an efficient technique for characterizing all SSBs. The authors demonstrate how neutron imaging provides real-time information regarding lithium-ion transport, phase transitions, and degradation mechanisms within the battery. Researchers are able to design battery materials and fabricate more efficient solid-state battery architectures using this technique. Sarfraz et al. [61] discuss developments in solid-state inorganic electrolytes for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. The authors summarize recent developments in ceramic, glass, and polymer solid electrolytes and present their ionic conductivity, stability, and compatibility with different electrode materials. The paper also suggests the potential of hybrid electrolyte systems that may incorporate the benefits of multiple types of electrolytes. Li et al. [62] examine key technologies and future prospects for EVs in emerging power systems. They discuss five major aspects: advancements in battery technology, integration with renewable energy sources, smart charging infrastructure, vehicle-to-grid (V2G) applications, and sustainability concerns. The paper provides a holistic view of how next-generation batteries and energy management systems will shape the future of electric mobility.

Together, these studies present a holistic view of the current state of SSB research, covering key challenges, technological advancements, material innovations, environmental considerations, and future directions. While significant progress has been made in developing high-performance SSBs, issues such as material compatibility, interface stability, recyclability, and large-scale manufacturing remain critical areas for further research.

3. Theory Comparative Analysis of Literature on SSBs

This comparative table provides a structured overview of the key contributions from various studies on SSBs, highlighting their impact, challenges, and future research directions.

Reference	Key Focus Area	Challenges Addressed	Proposed Solutions/Findings	Application/Impact	Future Research Directions
Janek & Zeier [16]	Development Barriers	Material constraints, manufacturing complexity, scalability	Emphasis on better electrolyte materials and improved interfaces	Commercial viability of SSBs	Development of new electrolyte materials
Machín et al. [17]	Sustainability	Recycling techniques, ecological impact	Need for eco-friendly recycling methods	Reducing environmental footprint	Advancements in battery waste management
Deshmukh et al. [18]	Lithium-Sulfur SSBs	Polysulfide dissolution, efficiency issues	Potential solutions for improved performance	Enhancing lithium-sulfur battery technology	Development of high-stability cathodes
Çolak & Irmak [19]	SSBs in EVs	Range and safety issues	Review of SSB advancements for EV applications	Improved battery performance in EVs	Integration with next-gen EVs
Olabi et al. [20]	Evolution of Rechargeable Batteries	Need for innovation in battery chemistry	Discussion on emerging applications	Broader use of SSBs	Research on novel battery chemistries
Yuan & Yuan [21]	Patent-based Analysis	Identification of research hotspots	Tracking emerging trends and breakthroughs	Innovation trends in SSBs	New technological advancements
Horowitz et al. [23]	Transition to All-SSBs	Challenges in electrolyte transitions	Opportunities in new materials	EV-specific battery improvements	Enhancing solid electrolyte performance
Li et al. [24]	Overall Progress in SSBs	Electrode-electrolyte compatibility	Review of key development challenges	Optimization of battery design	Manufacturing advancements
Mageto et al. [25]	Practical Strategies	Engineering and material innovations	Improvements in Li-ion SSBs	Enhanced performance of SSBs	Next-gen material integration
Aruchamy et al. [26]	Gel Polymer Electrolytes	Low ionic conductivity	Potential for high stability	Better performing batteries	Further electrolyte research
Xu et al. [27]	Cathode Materials	Energy storage efficiency	Li-rich oxide cathodes	High-capacity SSBs	Development of novel cathodes
Liu et al. [28]	Battery Management Systems	Longevity and safety issues	Strategies for optimal performance	Extended battery life in EVs	AI-based battery management

Block & Song [29]	Patents in SSBs	Innovation tracking	Material-based battery advancements	Material improvements	Further patent research
Boaretto et al. [30]	Lithium SSB Materials	Processing challenges	Solutions for large-scale manufacturing	SSB commercialization	Industrial-scale adoption
Wang et al. [31]	Halide-based SSBs	Material properties and application challenges	Advantages of halide-based materials	Increased practical usability	Addressing material stability issues
Wei et al. [32]	Customizable SSBs	Shape and design limitations	Flexible storage solutions	Wider energy applications	Customization for diverse applications
Schmaltz et al. [33]	Roadmap for SSBs	Design and scalability issues	Proposed improvements	SSB advancement	Future research in scalability
Gonzalez Puente et al. [34]	Garnet Electrolytes	Ionic transport challenges	Evaluations of transport properties	Better solid electrolytes	Improving ionic conductivity
Whang & Zeier [35]	Transition Metal Sulfides	Stability concerns	Identification of advantages	Alternative cathode materials	Stability enhancements
Ji et al. [36]	Interface Stability	Issues in garnet-type SSBs	Strategies for interface improvements	Better battery longevity	Interface engineering
Heubner et al. [37]	Anode-Free SSBs	Energy density limitations	Cost reduction strategies	Improved energy efficiency	Cost-effective anodes
Albertus et al. [38]	Li-Metal SSBs	Stability and performance challenges	Design improvements	Higher efficiency batteries	Stable Li-metal systems
Rawat et al. [39]	Specialty Batteries	Future battery trends	Innovations in niche batteries	Diversification of battery applications	Novel specialty batteries
Wang et al. [40]	Li-Metal Anodes	Dendrite formation, interface instability	Engineering solutions	Enhanced anode performance	Advanced anode structures
Tan et al. [41]	Scaling High-Density SSBs	Lab-to-commercial challenges	Practical strategies	Industrial production	Large-scale SSB manufacturing

Houache et al. [42]	Battery Chemistries for EVs	Comparison of battery technologies	Suitability of different chemistries	Future EV battery selection	Research on alternative chemistries
Kalnaus et al. [43]	Mechanical Stability	Structural integrity concerns	Understanding mechanical stresses	Longevity improvements	Mechanical stress optimization
Zhang et al. [50]	Liquid to Solid-State Transition	Dendrite formation, compatibility	Technological advancements	Safer, efficient SSBs	Material enhancements
Cao et al. [51]	Interface Engineering	Interfacial degradation issues	Stability improvement techniques	Extended battery life	Research on artificial interlayers
Lim et al. [52]	Interface Challenges	Contact issues, mechanical stress	Various stabilization techniques	Increased battery performance	Use of novel solid electrolytes
Kim et al. [53]	Ionic Conductivity	Poor lithium-ion transport	Grain boundary engineering	Enhanced solid electrolytes	Microstructure optimization
Ren et al. [54]	Sulfide SSBs	Sensitivity to moisture	Encapsulation techniques	Stable sulfide SSBs	Hybrid electrolyte research
Song et al. [55]	Halide Catholytes	Lithium-ion mobility limitations	Active catholyte strategies	Increased energy density	Improved catholyte integration
Kim et al. [56]	EV Battery Advancements	Energy density, charging speed	Improvements in battery chemistry	Better EV performance	Battery chemistry optimization
Salgado et al. [57]	EV Battery Technologies	Cost, scalability, environment impact	Comparative technology analysis	Future energy storage trends	Research on alternative battery types
Salkuti et al. [58]	Advanced Energy Storage	Battery lifespan optimization	AI-based management systems	Extended EV battery life	AI-driven battery systems
Paul et al. [59]	Interface Stability in Li-Metal Batteries	Electrolyte decomposition, lithium filament growth	Protective coatings, buffer layers	Increased SSB durability	Long-term stability research
Cao et al. [60]	In Operando Neutron Imaging	Real-time analysis limitations	Neutron imaging for lithium transport insights	Battery optimization	Advanced imaging techniques

Sarfraz et al. [61]	Solid-State Inorganic Electrolytes	Ionic conductivity, stability	Hybrid electrolyte systems	Improved battery performance	Research on hybrid electrolytes
Li et al. [62]	EV Integration with Power Systems	Smart charging, V2G applications	Future EV energy management systems	Sustainable EV technologies	Next-gen battery applications

4. Electrode Design, Interfacial Engineering, and Manufacturing Challenges

The development of SSBs for EVs hinges on resolving critical challenges in electrode architecture, interfacial stability, and scalable manufacturing, each of which intersects with material innovation and industrial pragmatism [51-53]. Central to achieving the high energy densities (>500 Wh/kg) required for next-generation EVs is the integration of lithium-metal anodes, which offer a theoretical capacity of 3,860 mAh/g but face persistent issues such as dendrite formation, interfacial instability, and volumetric expansion during cycling. To mitigate these challenges, researchers have pioneered strategies such as 3D host architectures—porous scaffolds of carbon or copper that homogenize lithium deposition—and artificial SEI like ultrathin LiF or Li_3N coatings deposited via ALD, which suppress dendrite growth while enhancing ionic conductivity [54-58]. Hybrid anode designs, including Solid Power's "anode-free" approach that electrodeposits lithium directly onto current collectors during charging, simplify manufacturing while retaining high energy density. Concurrently, advancements in high-voltage cathodes, such as nickel-rich, demand stabilization against interfacial degradation, achieved through nanoscale coatings and composite architectures that embed solid electrolytes into cathode materials to improve ionic contact and oxidative stability [59-62]. Interfacial engineering remains pivotal to addressing the inherent solid-solid contact resistance in SSBs, with innovations such as nanoscale Li_3PO_4 interlayers—sputtered to reduce impedance from >1,000 $\Omega\cdot cm^2$ to <100 $\Omega\cdot cm^2$—and thermal compression techniques that enhance electrode-electrolyte adhesion at elevated temperatures. Hybrid electrolytes combining polymers (e.g., PEO) with ceramic fillers (e.g., LLZO) balance flexibility and conductivity, as demonstrated in CATL's prototype cells achieving 500+ cycles at 10^{-3} S/cm. However, manufacturing scalability presents formidable barriers: thin-film SSBs, though high-performing, are prohibitively expensive due to vacuum deposition methods, while bulk-type SSBs prioritize scalable roll-to-roll processes for sulfide electrolytes or tape-casting for oxides, albeit with trade-offs in energy-intensive sintering (e.g., LLZO at 1,200°C) and dry-room requirements for moisture-sensitive sulfides. Industry leaders such as Toyota and QuantumScape are navigating these challenges through partnerships and pilot production lines—Toyota aims to commercialize sulfide-based SSBs by 2027–2028 with 500-mile ranges, while QuantumScape's collaboration with Volkswagen focuses on ceramic separators and anode-free designs for a 20 GWh gigafactory. Future progress hinges on overcoming high-rate performance limitations (>5 mA/cm^2), enhancing low-temperature operation, and advancing AI-driven material discovery to optimize electrolytes and interfaces. As the field evolves, interdisciplinary collaboration and policy support—such as the U.S. DOE's $200 million investment in SSB R&D—will be critical to aligning SSB innovation with the sustainability and scalability demands of the global EV transition.

5. Conclusions

The conclusion SSBs are an exciting breakthrough that could completely change how we power EVs. By replacing the flammable liquid electrolytes found in traditional LIBs with solid alternatives, these new systems offer improved safety and better energy storage, which means your next EV could go much farther on a single charge. Recent advances in the creation of materials such as oxide ceramics, sulfide glasses, and polymer composites have resulted in solid electrolytes with performance that comes close to liquids. At the same time, engineers have made significant headway in addressing challenges at the battery's interfaces—reducing issues like lithium dendrite growth that have long plagued battery performance. While there are still hurdles to overcome, such as scaling up production, lowering costs, and ensuring long-term durability under high power demands, the overall outlook is very promising. Major companies like Toyota and Quantum Scape are already testing new manufacturing techniques, and supportive policies are driving further research and development. Moreover, advancements in computational tools and AI are speeding up the search for even better materials and designs. All these efforts work together to make SSBs not just a scientific breakthrough but a real-world solution for creating safer, longer-lasting EVs. This progress marks an important step toward a more sustainable future for transportation, where innovative energy storage solutions help reduce our reliance on fossil fuels while enhancing everyday mobility.

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback and time, which have significantly improved our manuscript.

References

[1] Machín, Abniel, Carmen Morant, and Francisco Márquez. "Advancements and challenges in solid-state battery technology: An in-depth review of solid electrolytes and anode innovations." Batteries 10, no. 1 (2024): 29.

[2] Thomas, Felix, Lauren Mahdi, Julien Lemaire, and Diogo MF Santos. "Technological advances and market developments of solid-state batteries: A review." Materials 17, no. 1 (2024): 239.

[3] Khan, Waheeb Sohail, and Mohamed Darwish. "Advancing Electric Vehicle Technologies: A Comparative Analysis of Lithium-Ion and Emerging Solid-State Battery Systems." In 2024 59th International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2024.

[4] Bobba, Phaneendra Babu, Lakshmi Sri Harshitha Yerraguntla, Sathvika Pisini, H. P. Bhupathi, D. Srinivas, and M. M. Hassan. "Performance analysis of solid-state batteries in Electric vehicle applications." In E3S Web of Conferences, vol. 552, p. 01149. EDP Sciences, 2024.

[5] Islam, Md Saiful, Md Shameem Ahsan, Md Khaledur Rahman, and Faysal AminTanvir. "Advancements in battery technology for electric vehicles: a comprehensive analysis of recent developments." Global Mainstream Journal of Innovation, Engineering & Emerging Technology 2, no. 02 (2023): 01-28.

[6] Mandade, Prasad, Marcel Weil, Manuel Baumann, and Zhixuan Wei. "Environmental life cycle assessment of emerging solid-state batteries: A review." Chemical Engineering Journal Advances 13 (2023): 100439.

[7] Khan, Muskan. "Innovations in battery technology: enabling the revolution in electric vehicles and energy storage." British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies 5, no. 1 (2024): 23-41.

[8] Raja, VK Bupesh, Ignatius Raja, and Rahul Kavvampally. "Advancements in battery technologies of electric vehicle." In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 2129, no. 1, p. 012011. IOP Publishing, 2021.

[9] Roy, Hridoy, Bimol Nath Roy, Md Hasanuzzaman, Md Shahinoor Islam, Ayman S. Abdel-Khalik, Mostaf S. Hamad, and Shehab Ahmed. "Global advancements and current challenges of electric vehicle batteries and their prospects: a comprehensive review." Sustainability 14, no. 24 (2022): 16684.

[10] Kong, Long, Liping Wang, Jinlong Zhu, Juncao Bian, Wei Xia, Ruo Zhao, Haibin Lin, and Yusheng Zhao. "Configuring solid-state batteries to power electric vehicles: A deliberation on technology, chemistry and energy." Chemical Communications 57, no. 94 (2021): 12587-12594.

[11] Afreen, Sadiya, and Shakuntala M. Sajjanar. "Advances in Solid-State Physics: A Review of Recent Developments and Emerging Trends." Journal of Scientific Research and Technology (2025).

[12] Fichtner, Maximilian. "Recent research and progress in batteries for electric vehicles." Batteries & Supercaps 5, no. 2 (2022): e202100224.

[13] Abro, Ghulam E. Mustafa, Saiful Azrin BM Zulkifli, Kundan Kumar, Najib El Ouanjli, Vijanth Sagayan Asirvadam, and Mahmoud A. Mossa. "Comprehensive review of recent advancements in battery technology, propulsion, power interfaces, and vehicle network systems for intelligent autonomous and connected electric vehicles." Energies 16, no. 6 (2023): 2925.

[14] Wu, Dengxu, and Fan Wu. "Toward better batteries: solid-state battery roadmap 2035+." Etransportation 16 (2023): 100224.

[15] Janek, Jürgen, and Wolfgang G. Zeier. "Challenges in speeding up solid-state battery development." Nature Energy 8, no. 3 (2023): 230-240.

[16] Machín, Abniel, María C. Cotto, Francisco Díaz, José Duconge, Carmen Morant, and Francisco Márquez. "Environmental aspects and recycling of solid-state batteries: a comprehensive review." Batteries 10, no. 7 (2024): 255.

[17] Deshmukh, Ashvini, M. Thripuranthaka, Vikash Chaturvedi, Anoushka K. Das, Vilas Shelke, and Manjusha V. Shelke. "A review on recent advancements in solid state lithium–sulfur batteries: fundamentals, challenges, and perspectives." Progress in Energy 4, no. 4 (2022): 042001.

[18] Çolak, Alperen Mustafa, and Erdal Irmak. "Electric vehicle advancements, barriers, and potential: A comprehensive review." Electric Power Components and Systems 51, no. 17 (2023): 2010-2042.

[19] Olabi, Abdul Ghani, Qaisar Abbas, Pragati A. Shinde, and Mohammad Ali Abdelkareem. "Rechargeable batteries: Technological advancement, challenges, current and emerging applications." Energy 266 (2023): 126408.

[20] Yuan, Yuxin, and Xiaodong Yuan. "The advances and opportunities of developing solid-state battery technology: Based on the patent Information Relation Matrix." Energy 296 (2024): 131178.

[21] Block, Anton, and Chie Hoon Song. "Exploring the characteristics of technological knowledge interaction dynamics in the field of solid-state batteries: A patent-based approach." Journal of cleaner production 353 (2022): 131689.

[22] Horowitz, Yonatan, Christina Schmidt, Dong-hwan Yoon, Luise Mathilda Riegger, Leon Katzenmeier, Georg Maximillian Bosch, Malachi Noked et al. "Between liquid and all solid: a prospect on electrolyte future in lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles." Energy Technology 8, no. 11 (2020): 2000580.

[23] Li, Cong, Zhen-yu Wang, Zhen-jiang He, Yun-jiao Li, Jing Mao, Ke-hua Dai, Cheng Yan, and Jun-chao Zheng. "An advance review of solid-state battery: Challenges, progress and prospects." Sustainable Materials and Technologies 29 (2021): e00297.

[24] Mageto, Teddy, Sanket D. Bhoyate, Felipe M. de Souza, Kwadwo Mensah-Darkwa, Anuj Kumar, and Ram K. Gupta. "Developing practical solid-state rechargeable Li-ion batteries: Concepts, challenges, and improvement strategies." Journal of Energy Storage 55 (2022): 105688.

[25] Aruchamy, Kanakaraj, Subramaniyan Ramasundaram, Sivasubramani Divya, Murugesan Chandran, Kyusik Yun, and Tae Hwan Oh. "Gel polymer electrolytes: advancing solid-state batteries for high-performance applications." Gels 9, no. 7 (2023): 585.

[26] Xu, Lifeng, Shi Chen, Yuefeng Su, Jizhuang He, Lian Wang, Xing Shen, Lai Chen et al. "Building better batteries: solid-state batteries with Li-rich oxide cathodes." Energy Material Advances 4 (2023): 0045.

[27] Liu, Wei, Tobias Placke, and K. T. Chau. "Overview of batteries and battery management for electric vehicles." Energy Reports 8 (2022): 4058-4084.

[28] Block, Anton, and Chie Hoon Song. "Exploring the potential of material information in patent data: the case of solid-state batteries." Journal of Energy Storage 71 (2023): 108123.

[29] Boaretto, Nicola, Iñigo Garbayo, Sona Valiyaveettil-SobhanRaj, Amaia Quintela, Chunmei Li, Montse Casas-Cabanas, and Frederic Aguesse. "Lithium solid-state batteries: State-of-the-art and challenges for materials, interfaces and processing." Journal of Power Sources 502 (2021): 229919.

[30] Wang, Changhong, Jianwen Liang, Jung Tae Kim, and Xueliang Sun. "Prospects of halide-based all-solid-state batteries: From material design to practical application." Science Advances 8, no. 36 (2022): eadc9516.

[31] Wei, Lu, Song-Tao Liu, Moran Balaish, Zhuo Li, Xiao-Yan Zhou, Jennifer LM Rupp, and Xin Guo. "Customizable solid-state batteries toward shape-conformal and structural power supplies." Materials Today 58 (2022): 297-312.

[32] Schmaltz, Thomas, Felix Hartmann, Tim Wicke, Lukas Weymann, Christoph Neef, and Jürgen Janek. "A roadmap for solid-state batteries." Advanced Energy Materials 13, no. 43 (2023): 2301886.

[33] Gonzalez Puente, P. M., Shangbin Song, Shiyu Cao, Leana Ziwen Rannalter, Ziwen Pan, Xing Xiang, Qiang Shen, and Fei Chen. "Garnet-type solid electrolyte: Advances of ionic transport performance and its application in all-solid-state batteries." Journal of Advanced Ceramics (2021): 1-40.

[34] Whang, Grace, and Wolfgang G. Zeier. "Transition metal sulfide conversion: a promising approach to solid-state batteries." ACS Energy Letters 8, no. 12 (2023): 5264-5274.

[35] Ji, Weijie, Bi Luo, Guihui Yu, Qi Wang, Zixun Zhang, Yi Tian, Zihang Liu et al. "A review of challenges and issues concerning interfaces for garnet-type all-solid-state batteries." Journal of Alloys and Compounds 979 (2024): 173530.

[36] Heubner, Christian, Sebastian Maletti, Henry Auer, Juliane Hüttl, Karsten Voigt, Oliver Lohrberg, Kristian Nikolowski, Mareike Partsch, and Alexander Michaelis. "From lithium-metal toward anode-free solid-state batteries: current developments, issues, and challenges." Advanced Functional Materials 31, no. 51 (2021): 2106608.

[37] Albertus, Paul, Venkataramani Anandan, Chunmei Ban, Nitash Balsara, Ilias Belharouak, Josh Buettner-Garrett, Zonghai Chen et al. "Challenges for and pathways toward Li-metal-based all-solid-state batteries." (2021): 1399-1404.

[38] Rawat, Satyam, Jahanvi Thakur, Peeyush Phogat, Ranjana Jha, and Sukhvir Singh. "Advancements in Specialty Batteries: Innovations, Challenges, and Future Directions." Journal of Alloys and Compounds (2025): 179387.

[39] Wang, Tengrui, Wei Luo, and Yunhui Huang. "Engineering Li metal anode for garnet-based solid-state batteries." Accounts of Chemical Research 56, no. 6 (2023): 667-676.

[40] Tan, Darren HS, Ying Shirley Meng, and Jihyun Jang. "Scaling up high-energy-density sulfidic solid-state batteries: A lab-to-pilot perspective." Joule 6, no. 8 (2022): 1755-1769.

[41] Houache, Mohamed SE, Chae-Ho Yim, Zouina Karkar, and Yaser Abu-Lebdeh. "On the current and future outlook of battery chemistries for electric vehicles—mini review." Batteries 8, no. 7 (2022): 70.

[42] Kalnaus, Sergiy, Nancy J. Dudney, Andrew S. Westover, Erik Herbert, and Steve Hackney. "Solid-state batteries: The critical role of mechanics." Science 381, no. 6664 (2023): eabg5998.

[43] Khan, Tayyab, and Karan Singh. "RTM: Realistic Weight-Based Reliable Trust Model for Large Scale WSNs." Wireless Personal Communications 129, no. 2 (2023): 953-991.

[44] Khan, Tayyab, and Karan Singh. "DTMS: A Dual Trust-based Multi-level Sybil Attack Detection Approach in WSNs." Wireless Personal Communications 134, no. 3 (2024): 1389-1420.

[45] Khan, Tayyab, Karan Singh, Sakshi Gupta, and Manisha Manjul. "Multi Trust-based Secure Trust Model for WSNs." Journal of Information Technology Management 14, no. Special Issue: Security and Resource Management challenges for Internet of Things (2022): 147-158.

[46] Khan, Tayyab, Karan Singh, and Kamlesh C. Purohit. "ICMA: an efficient integrated congestion control approach." Recent Patents on Engineering 14, no. 3 (2020): 294-309.

[47] Khan, Tayyab, Karan Singh, Mohd Shariq, Khaleel Ahmad, K. S. Savita, Ali Ahmadian, Soheil Salahshour, and Mauro Conti. "An efficient trust-based decision-making approach for WSNs: Machine learning oriented approach." Computer Communications 209 (2023): 217-229.

[48] Khan, Tayyab, Karan Singh, Khaleel Ahmad, and Khairol Amali Bin Ahmad. "A secure and dependable trust assessment (SDTS) scheme for industrial communication networks." Scientific Reports 13, no. 1 (2023): 1910.

[49] Zhang, Zhao, and Wei-Qiang Han. "From liquid to solid-state lithium metal batteries: fundamental issues and recent developments." Nano-Micro Letters 16, no. 1 (2024): 24.

[50] Cao, Chencheng, Yijun Zhong, Hannah Seneque, Jacinta Simi, and Zongping Shao. "Recent Advances in Developing High-Performance Solid-State Lithium Batteries: Interface Engineering." Energy & Fuels 37, no. 23 (2023): 17892-17914.

[51] Lim, Hee-Dae, Jae-Ho Park, Hyeon-Ji Shin, Jiwon Jeong, Jun Tae Kim, Kyung-Wan Nam, Hun-Gi Jung, and Kyung Yoon Chung. "A review of challenges and issues concerning interfaces for all-solid-state batteries." Energy Storage Materials 25 (2020): 224-250.

[52] Kim, Yong-Chan, Kyu-Nam Jung, Jong-Won Lee, and Min-Sik Park. "Improving the ionic conductivity of Li1+ xAlxGe2-x (PO4) 3 solid electrolyte for all-solid-state batteries using microstructural modifiers." Ceramics International 46, no. 14 (2020): 23200-23207.

[53] Ren, Dongsheng, Languang Lu, Rui Hua, Gaolong Zhu, Xiang Liu, Yuqiong Mao, Xinyu Rui et al. "Challenges and opportunities of practical sulfide-based all-solid-state batteries." Etransportation 18 (2023): 100272.

[54] Song, Zhenyou, Yiming Dai, Tengrui Wang, Qian Yu, Xiaolu Ye, Likuo Wang, Yini Zhang, Suntongxing Wang, and Wei Luo. "An Active Halide Catholyte Boosts the Extra Capacity for All-Solid-State Batteries." Advanced Materials 36, no. 33 (2024): 2405277.

[55] Kim, Sangwook, Tanvir R. Tanim, Eric J. Dufek, Don Scoffield, Timothy D. Pennington, Kevin L. Gering, Andrew M. Colclasure, Weijie Mai, Andrew Meintz, and Jesse Bennett. "Projecting recent advancements in battery technology to next-generation electric vehicles." Energy Technology 10, no. 8 (2022): 2200303.

[56] Salgado, Rui Martim, Federico Danzi, Joana Espain Oliveira, Anter El-Azab, Pedro Ponces Camanho, and Maria Helena Braga. "The latest trends in electric vehicles batteries." Molecules 26, no. 11 (2021): 3188.

[57] Salkuti, Surender Reddy. "Advanced technologies for energy storage and electric vehicles." Energies 16, no. 5 (2023): 2312.

[58] Paul, Partha P., Bor-Rong Chen, Spencer A. Langevin, Eric J. Dufek, Johanna Nelson Weker, and Jesse S. Ko. "Interfaces in all solid state Li-metal batteries: A review on instabilities, stabilization strategies, and scalability." Energy Storage Materials 45 (2022): 969-1001.

[59] Cao, Daxian, Yuxuan Zhang, Tongtai Ji, and Hongli Zhu. "In operando neutron imaging characterizations of all-solid-state batteries." MRS Bulletin 48, no. 12 (2023): 1257-1268.

[60] Sarfraz, Nafeesa, Nosheen Kanwal, Muzahir Ali, Kashif Ali, Ali Hasnain, Muhammad Ashraf, Muhammad Ayaz et al. "Materials advancements in solid-state inorganic electrolytes for highly anticipated all solid Li-ion batteries." Energy Storage Materials (2024): 103619.

[61] Li, Yalun, Minggao Ouyang, C. C. Chan, Xueliang Sun, Yonghua Song, Wei Cai, Yilin Xie, and Yuqiong Mao. "Key technologies and prospects for electric vehicles within emerging power systems: Insights from five aspects." CSEE Journal of Power and Energy Systems (2024).